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TENURE SECURITY AND CONFLICTS ON LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES
OBJECTIVES

1. Lay down the meaning of concepts and laws that govern land and resource rights and governance

2. Deepen understanding of existing land and resource conflicts in the communities’ ancestral domains

3. Discuss possible strategies on responding to, resolving, and preventing land and resource conflicts
STRUCTURE OF DISCUSSION

- Tenure security, land and resource rights
- Indigenous Peoples Rights Act (IPRA) and other laws on land and natural resources
- Land and resource conflicts
- Addressing conflict
MEANING OF CONCEPTS

1st section
LAND TENURE SECURITY

- **Relationship** of people with land and natural resources
  - According to systems (law or custom) that determine:
    - who owns and uses land/resources
    - for how long
    - according to what rules
LAND TENURE SECURITY

- May be held by an individual, household or a community

  ➢ when held by a household or community, decision-making is exercised in the context of negotiation and consensus of all rights holders
3 TYPES OF LAND TENURE SECURITY

- **Legal** - enforcement of rights emanating from the power of the government
- **De facto** - enforcement of rights by virtue of actual occupation and use
- **Perceived** - beliefs of rights-holders that their claims are legitimate and that they cannot be removed arbitrarily displaced/evicted
## Land Rights

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Use</th>
<th>Rights</th>
<th>Rights to ancestral domain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Access</td>
<td>Law</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Harvest</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Exploit/Use for livelihood</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Plan future use</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Exclude/determine users</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer</td>
<td>Lease/Rental</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bequeathment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sale</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SOME REFLECTIONS

Discussion
LAWS

2nd section
LAWS AND POLICIES ON LAND AND RESOURCES IN THE PHILIPPINES

- **Framework**
  - Philippine Constitution of 1987

- **Ownership**
  - Civil Code of the Philippines

- **Sectoral and Tenure Reforms**
  - Comprehensive Agrarian Reform Program of 1988 and 2011 (RA 6657, as amended by RA 9700)
  - Indigenous Peoples Rights Act of 1997 (RA 8371)
  - Philippine Fisheries Code of 1998 (RA 8550)
  - Urban Development and Housing Act (UDHA)

- **Management and Use of Natural Resources**
  - Agriculture & Fisheries Modernization Act (RA 8435)
  - National Integrated Protected Areas System Act of 1992 (RA 7586)
  - Forestry Code of the Philippines (PD 705)
  - Philippine Mining Act of 1995 (RA 7942)
  - Public Land Use Act of 1936 (CA 141)

- **Risk Management**
  - Climate Change Act of 2009 (RA 9729)
  - Philippine Disaster Risk Reduction and Management Act of 2010 (RA 10121)

Source: Quizon, Pagsanghan (2013)
INDIGENOUS PEOPLES’ RIGHTS ACT
(RA 8371)

Native Title — refers to pre-conquest rights to lands and domains which, as far back as memory reaches, have been held under a claim of private ownership by ICCs/IPs, have never been public lands and are thus indisputably presumed to have been held that way since before (the Spanish) Conquest

THEREFORE, with or without recognition by the state, indigenous peoples’ primordial right to self-determination within their ancestral domain/lands must be upheld
INDIGENOUS PEOPLES’ BUNDLE OF RIGHTS

1. Right to Ancestral Domain
2. Right to Self-Governance and Empowerment
3. Right to Social Justice and Human Rights
4. Right to Cultural Integrity

Free, Prior, Informed Consent (FPIC)
ON THE PROPERTIES OF OUTSIDERS WITHIN ANCESTRAL DOMAINS

Section 56.

Existing Property Rights Regimes. — Property rights within the ancestral domains already existing and/or vested upon effectivity of this Act, shall be recognized and respected.

These shall remain part of the ancestral domain.
RA 6657 – Distributed 9M ha of agrarian lands and production forests for the livelihood of 3.9M farmers and farmworkers

RA 8371 – Recognition, empowerment and protection of the rights of Indigenous Peoples over their Ancestral Domains

RA 8550 – Preferential rights of small fisherfolk over Municipal Waters (15km mula sa baybayin)

RA 7279 – Responding to the shortage of housing through human settlement development and socialized housing
Environmental Laws - PA21

Mining Act

UDHA Urban Dev’t & Housing

IPRA Indigenous Peoples

NIPAs Protected Areas

Reforms on Land in the Philippines

CARP Agrarian Reform

PFC Fisheries Code

ABQuizon, 2013
SOME REFLECTIONS ON PHILIPPINE LAWS ON LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES

- No single law nor a framework
- Sectoral and fragmented approach
  - Context and objectives of reform are different (rights, reform, economics, environment, governance)
  - Overlapping of mandates and conflict between different land and resource rights
- Land and resource rights are bestowed through the use of different tenure instruments, ie. rent/lease, permit, contracts, titles, etc

Source: Quizon, Pagsanghan (2013)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Bundle of rights (According to law)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Access</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCIP</td>
<td>CADC</td>
<td>Perpetuity</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CADT</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CALT</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAR</td>
<td>EP</td>
<td>Subject to amortization</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CLT</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CLOA</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private party</td>
<td>Agricultural Leasehold</td>
<td>According to contract</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRA</td>
<td>Land Title o TCT</td>
<td>Perpetuity</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Land Patent</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DENR</td>
<td>IFMA</td>
<td>25 years, renewable</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CBFMA</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGU</td>
<td>Municipal fishers registry</td>
<td>1 year, renewable</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CONFLICT

Situation wherein 2 or more parties compete for control over resources, power and/or truth

All relationships, all societies have conflict!
It is NATURAL
LAND AND RESOURCE CONFLICTS

Situation wherein 2 or more parties are competing for control over the use, management, and transfer of land and natural resources.
VIOLENCE

A show of force, an **imposition of will** on others to gain control through **destructive means**

Not all conflicts turn violent
TYPES OF VIOLENCE

Direct

- Emanates from the acts of people
- Episodic, has a manifest beginning and end

Structural

- Emanates from a legitimate system and institutions
- Often, people have no consciousness on this type of violence

Cultural

- Embedded in customs and beliefs
- Gives justification to Direct and Structural Violence
The progression of conflict depends if the means to address the conflict pursued are peaceful or violent means.
# Land Rights

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rights to ancestral domain</th>
<th>Law</th>
<th>De facto</th>
<th>Perception</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Use</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvest</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exploit/Use for livelihood</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Management</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan future use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exclude/determine users</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transfer</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lease/Rental</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bequeathment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Land and Resource Rights Amidst Conflicts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Use</th>
<th>Rights</th>
<th>Rights to ancestral domain</th>
<th>Effect of Conflict</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Access</td>
<td>Law</td>
<td>De facto</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Harvest</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Exploit/Use for livelihood</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manageme nt</td>
<td>Plan future use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Exclude/determine users</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer</td>
<td>Lease/Rental</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bequeathment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Enjoyment of Rights is HINDERED and FRUSTRATED!
SOME REFLECTIONS? Discussion
EXERCISE: CONFLICT MAPPING

**Grouping**
- Gather according to village within the ancestral domain
- Assign a facilitator and note-taker
- Discuss within 30 minutes
- Assign a reporter to report for 10 minutes in the plenary

**Guide Questions**
- Using a map of your community’s ancestral domain, identify the location where conflict over land and resources is present
- Who are the parties in conflict? (tribe VS tribe, tribe VS outsider, tribe VS LGU/agency, tribe VS business)
- What is the history of the said conflict?
- What is its effect to the community?
SOME REFLECTIONS?

Discussion
Actions in situations of conflict are connected on two important perceptions:

- Perception of the legitimacy of one own’s interest and power to enforce them
- Perception of the legitimacy of the other party’s interest and power to enforce them

The interaction of these two beliefs bring about 4 possible actions
IMPORTANCE OF INSTITUTIONS

Provides order
- Sets rules
- Facilitates processes

Keep the powerful in check
- To remind of duties
- Hold accountable

Assist weaker parties
- Protect from violence
- Provide guidance
When violence has occurred, swift response must be ensured through Conflict Response Mechanisms.
Response to conflicts especially when they turn violent is similar to preparations in natural disasters.

Options for action:
- Put a stop to the violence through escape or provide promises to meet their demands
- Restore order in the community
- Tend to the injured
- Gather evidence
- If necessary: defend self, community and territory
INSTITUTIONS TO APPROACH FOR QUICK RESPONSE
To once and for all resolve conflicts, peaceful and effective **Conflict Resolution Mechanisms** are needed.
When conflict de-escalates, there comes a time when parties are ready to resolve the causes of the conflict.

- Often this results to a compromise where both parties humbly lower their demands and arrive at acceptable terms for peaceful coexistence.
- Remember: you are not negotiating your rights! Only the ways in which they can be met. NEVER COMPROMISE YOUR RIGHTS!
## INSTITUTIONS TO APPROACH FOR PEACEFUL RESOLUTION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conflict Type</th>
<th>Institution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tribe VS Tribe</td>
<td>Tribal Justice System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tribe VS Outsider</td>
<td>Village officials, Government, Courts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tribe VS Government</td>
<td>Oversight agencies, Courts</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conflict can be nipped in the bud before it becomes manifest and escalates through Conflict Prevention Mechanisms.
It is possible that conflict can be nipped in the bud before it becomes manifest.

This is best achieved when members of the community are knowledgeable of their rights and systems for participation are in place.

Options for community strengthening:
- Information dissemination on rights
- Strengthen community decision-making
- Participate in governance
### INSTITUTIONS TO APPROACH FOR CONFLICT PREVENTION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level/Sector</th>
<th>Mekanismo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tribe</td>
<td>Indigenous Political Structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Village and LGU</td>
<td>Indigenous Peoples Mandatory Representatives (IPMRs) and LGU Officials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protected Area</td>
<td>Protected Area Management Board (PAMB)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Government</td>
<td>Concerned Agencies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Logos of various government agencies]
EXERCISE: ADDRESSING CONFLICT

Grouping

- Gather according to village within the ancestral domain
- Assign a facilitator and note-taker
- Discuss within 30 minutes
- Assign a reporter to report for 10 minutes in the plenary

Guide Questions

- Identify the interests of the conflicting parties. In what aspects are they similar and different?
- What strategies are appropriate to address the said conflict?
- What actions must be initiated to prevent such a conflict to emerge again in the future? What systems must be set in place to ensure peace and security of tenure over the ancestral domain?
SOME REFLECTIONS? Discussion